

Four-Zero Texture of Hermitian Quark Mass Matrices and Current Experimental Tests

Harald Fritzsch *

Sektion Physik, Universität München, D-80333 Munich, Germany

Zhi-zhong Xing †

*CCAST (World Laboratory), P.O. Box 8730, Beijing 100080, China
and Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
P.O. Box 918 (4), Beijing 100039, China ‡*

Abstract

We show that the four-zero texture of Hermitian quark mass matrices can survive current experimental tests: it is able to yield sufficiently large predictions for the flavor mixing parameter $|V_{ub}/V_{cb}|$ and the CP-violating parameter $\sin 2\beta$, without fine-tuning of the input values of quark masses, provided the (2,2), (2,3) and (3,3) elements of up and down mass matrices roughly satisfy a geometrical hierarchy. The successful relations $|V_{us}| \approx \sqrt{m_u/m_c + m_d/m_s}$ and $|V_{td}/V_{ts}| \approx \sqrt{m_d/m_s}$ obtained previously from the six-zero texture of Hermitian quark mass matrices remain unchanged in the new ansatz.

*Electronic address: bm@theorie.physik.uni-muenchen.de

†Electronic address: xingzz@mail.ihep.ac.cn

‡Mailing address

1 The structure of quark mass matrices, which governs the pattern of quark flavor mixing, is completely unknown in the standard electroweak model. A theory more fundamental than the standard model should be able to determine the quark mass matrices exclusively, such that the relevant physical parameters (six quark masses, three flavor mixing angles and one CP-violating phase) could be calculated. Attempts in this direction, e.g., those starting from supersymmetric grand unification theories and from superstring theories, are encouraging but have not proved to be very successful. Phenomenologically, a very common approach is to search for simple textures of quark mass matrices that can predict self-consistent and experimentally-favored relations between quark masses and flavor mixing parameters [1]. The discrete flavor symmetries hidden in such textures might finally provide useful hints towards the underlying dynamics responsible for quark mass generation and CP violation.

An instructive ansatz of Hermitian quark mass matrices with six texture zeros was first proposed by one of us [2] about 25 years ago [§]:

$$M_u = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & C_u & \mathbf{0} \\ C_u^* & \mathbf{0} & B_u \\ \mathbf{0} & B_u^* & A_u \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1a)$$

$$M_d = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & C_d & \mathbf{0} \\ C_d^* & \mathbf{0} & B_d \\ \mathbf{0} & B_d^* & A_d \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1b)$$

with $|A_q| \gg |B_q| \gg |C_q|$ for $q = u$ (up) or d (down). It predicts three simple relations between elements of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix and ratios of the quark masses:

$$|V_{us}| \approx \left| \sqrt{\frac{m_u}{m_c}} - e^{i\phi_1} \sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s}} \right|, \quad (2)$$

and

$$\frac{|V_{ub}|}{|V_{cb}|} \approx \sqrt{\frac{m_u}{m_c}}, \quad (3a)$$

$$\frac{|V_{td}|}{|V_{ts}|} \approx \sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s}}, \quad (3b)$$

in which $\phi_1 \equiv \arg(C_u) - \arg(C_d)$ denotes the phase difference between two (1,2) elements of M_u and M_d . These relations keep to hold in a generalized version of M_u and M_d in Eq. (1), the so-called four-zero texture of Hermitian quark mass matrices [4, 5]:

$$M_u = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & C_u & \mathbf{0} \\ C_u^* & \tilde{B}_u & B_u \\ \mathbf{0} & B_u^* & A_u \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4a)$$

$$M_d = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & C_d & \mathbf{0} \\ C_d^* & \tilde{B}_d & B_d \\ \mathbf{0} & B_d^* & A_d \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4b)$$

[§]A pair of off-diagonal texture zeros of the up or down quark mass matrix have been counted, due to Hermiticity, as one zero [3].

where $|\tilde{B}_u| \sim |B_u| \sim m_c$ and $|\tilde{B}_d| \sim |B_d| \sim m_s$ have commonly been assumed. The key difference between two textures in Eqs. (1) and (4) is in their predictions for $|V_{cb}|$; i.e., $|V_{cb}| \sim \sqrt{m_s/m_b}$ from the six-zero texture of M_u and M_d [2] and $|V_{cb}| \sim m_s/m_b$ from the four-zero texture of M_u and M_d [4]. The former is apparently in conflict with the smallness of $|V_{cb}|$ extracted from current experimental data [6]. That is why a lot of attention has been moved from six texture zeros to four texture zeros of quark mass matrices [1].

However, the validity of Eq. (3a) has recently been questioned by several authors (see, e.g., Refs. [7, 8]). Their essential point is that the magnitude of $|V_{ub}/V_{cb}|$ predicted by $\sqrt{m_u/m_c}$ is too low ($|V_{ub}/V_{cb}| \approx 0.06$ or smaller for reasonable values of m_u and m_c [9]) to agree with the present experimental result ($|V_{ub}/V_{cb}|_{\text{ex}} \approx 0.09$ [6]). To resolve this discrepancy, two different approaches have been considered [8]: (a) revising the four-zero texture of M_u and M_d by introducing non-vanishing entries in their (1,3) and (3,1) positions, such that only the (1,1) elements vanish in the modified quark mass matrices [10]; and (b) abandoning Hermiticity of the four-zero texture of M_u and M_d , such that the (2,3) and (3,2) elements of either mass matrix can be quite different in magnitude. Both approaches allow the naive result in Eq. (3a) to be sufficiently enhanced, leading to good agreement between quark mass matrices and current experimental data.

In this Letter we aim to point out that the four-zero texture of Hermitian quark mass matrices can actually survive current experimental tests, if we give up the previous assumptions $|\tilde{B}_u| \sim |B_u| \sim m_c$ and $|\tilde{B}_d| \sim |B_d| \sim m_s$, which are neither phenomenologically nor theoretically justified. We find that no fine-tuning of the input values of quark masses is needed to arrive at a favorable prediction for $|V_{ub}/V_{cb}|$, provided the (2,2), (2,3) and (3,3) elements of M_u and M_d in Eq. (4) roughly satisfy a geometrical hierarchy. The successful predictions obtained previously in Eq. (2) and Eq. (3b) remain unchanged, because they are insensitive to the hierarchy between A_q and its neighbors B_q and $\tilde{B}_q$ (for $q = u$ or d). As a result, the CP-violating parameter $\sin 2\beta$ also gets enhanced in our new ansatz.

2 Let us reconsider the four-zero texture of Hermitian quark mass matrices given in Eq. (4). The observed hierarchy of quark masses ($m_u \ll m_c \ll m_t$ and $m_d \ll m_s \ll m_b$) implies that $|A_q| \gg |\tilde{B}_q|, |B_q|, |C_q|$ (for $q = u$ or d) should in general hold [11]. It is well known that M_q can be decomposed into $M_q = P_q^\dagger \overline{M}_q P_q$, where

$$\overline{M}_q = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & |C_q| & \mathbf{0} \\ |C_q| & \tilde{B}_q & |B_q| \\ \mathbf{0} & |B_q| & A_q \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

and $P_q = \text{Diag}\{1, e^{i\phi_{C_q}}, e^{i(\phi_{B_q} + \phi_{C_q})}\}$ with $\phi_{B_q} \equiv \arg(B_q)$ and $\phi_{C_q} \equiv \arg(C_q)$ is a pure phase matrix. For simplicity, we neglect the subscript “q” in the following, whenever it is unnecessary to distinguish between the up and down quark sectors. The real symmetric mass matrix $\overline{M}$ can be diagonalized by use of the orthogonal transformation

$$O^T \overline{M} O = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

where λ_i (for $i = 1, 2, 3$) are quark mass eigenvalues and may be either positive or negative (i.e., $|\lambda_1| = m_1 \ll |\lambda_2| = m_2 \ll |\lambda_3| = m_3$). Without loss of generality, we take $\lambda_3 > 0$ and

$A > 0$. Then $\text{Det}(\overline{M}) = -A|C|^2 < 0$ implies that $\lambda_1\lambda_2 < 0$ is required. Note that $\tilde{B}$, $|B|$ and $|C|$ can be expressed in terms of λ_i and A as follows:

$$\tilde{B} = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 - A, \quad (7a)$$

$$|B| = \sqrt{\frac{(A - \lambda_1)(A - \lambda_2)(\lambda_3 - A)}{A}}, \quad (7b)$$

$$|C| = \sqrt{\frac{-\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3}{A}}. \quad (7c)$$

The nine matrix elements of O can also be calculated in terms of λ_i and A . We obtain the exact analytical result as

$$O = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2\lambda_3(A - \lambda_1)}{A(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)}} & \eta\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1\lambda_3(\lambda_2 - A)}{A(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)}} & \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1\lambda_2(A - \lambda_3)}{A(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)}} \\ -\eta\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1(\lambda_1 - A)}{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)}} & \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2(A - \lambda_2)}{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)}} & \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_3(\lambda_3 - A)}{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)}} \\ \eta\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1(A - \lambda_2)(A - \lambda_3)}{A(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)}} & -\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2(A - \lambda_1)(\lambda_3 - A)}{A(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)}} & \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_3(A - \lambda_1)(A - \lambda_2)}{A(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

where $\eta \equiv \lambda_2/m_2 = +1$ or -1 , corresponding to the possibility $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = (-m_1, +m_2)$ or $(+m_1, -m_2)$. The CKM matrix, which measures the mismatch between diagonalizations of M_u and M_d , is given by $V \equiv O_u^T(P_u P_d^\dagger)O_d$. Explicitly, we have

$$V_{i\alpha} = O_{1i}^u O_{1\alpha}^d + O_{2i}^u O_{2\alpha}^d e^{i\phi_1} + O_{3i}^u O_{3\alpha}^d e^{i(\phi_1 + \phi_2)}, \quad (9)$$

where the subscripts i and α run respectively over (u, c, t) and (d, s, b) , and two phases are defined as $\phi_1 \equiv \phi_{C_u} - \phi_{C_d}$ and $\phi_2 \equiv \phi_{B_u} - \phi_{B_d}$.

There are two special but interesting patterns of M_u and M_d , in which the matrix elements of O^u and O^d depend purely upon the ratios of quark masses:

1. If $\tilde{B}_u = \tilde{B}_d = 0$ are taken, the four-zero texture of M_u and M_d is reduced to the six-zero texture [2]. In this case, $\eta = -1$ is uniquely fixed. Then $A_u = m_u - m_c + m_t$ and $A_d = m_d - m_s + m_b$ hold. The matrix elements of O^u and O^d can be expressed, respectively, in terms of $(m_u/m_c, m_c/m_t)$ and $(m_d/m_s, m_s/m_b)$ [12].
2. Taking $\tilde{B}_u = m_c$ and $\tilde{B}_d = m_s$ [13], we are led uniquely to $\eta = +1$. In this case, $A_u = m_t - m_u$ and $A_d = m_b - m_d$ hold. The matrix elements of O^u and O^d can be expressed, respectively, in terms of $(m_u/m_c, m_c/m_t)$ and $(m_d/m_s, m_s/m_b)$ [1, 13].

From both special patterns, however, one arrives finally at the questionable prediction given in Eq. (3a). We are therefore forced to start from Eq. (8), without any special assumption about the magnitudes of $\tilde{B}_u$ and $\tilde{B}_d$, to calculate the CKM matrix.

In view of the strong hierarchy of quark masses in both up and down sectors, we expect that $|\tilde{B}_u| \ll A_u \sim m_t$ and $|\tilde{B}_d| \ll A_d \sim m_b$ reasonably hold [11]. We emphasize that $|\tilde{B}_u| \gg m_c$ and $|\tilde{B}_d| \gg m_s$ are possible, a case which has not been considered before. Then we calculate the CKM matrix elements by use of Eqs. (8) and (9). To leading order, we obtain ¶

$$|V_{ud}| \approx |V_{cs}| \approx |V_{tb}| \approx 1, \quad (10a)$$

$$|V_{us}| \approx |V_{cd}| \approx \left| \sqrt{\frac{m_u}{m_c}} - e^{i\phi_1} \sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s}} \right|, \quad (10b)$$

$$|V_{cb}| \approx |V_{ts}| \approx \left| \sqrt{\frac{m_t - A_u}{m_t}} - e^{i\phi_2} \sqrt{\frac{m_b - A_d}{m_b}} \right|; \quad (10c)$$

as well as

$$\frac{|V_{ub}|}{|V_{cb}|} \approx \left| \sqrt{\frac{m_u}{m_c}} - e^{i\phi} \frac{\eta \sqrt{m_d m_s}}{m_b |V_{cb}|} \sqrt{\frac{m_b - A_d}{m_b}} \right|, \quad (11a)$$

$$\frac{|V_{td}|}{|V_{ts}|} \approx \sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s}}, \quad (11b)$$

where

$$\phi \equiv \arcsin \left(\frac{\sin \phi_2}{|V_{cb}|} \sqrt{\frac{m_t - A_u}{m_t}} \right) - \phi_1. \quad (12)$$

It is obvious that Eq. (10a), Eq. (10b) and Eq. (11b) are consistent with the previous results. A good fit of Eq. (10b) to the experimental data suggests $\phi_1 \approx 90^\circ$ [4, 5]. Furthermore, Eq. (10c) can trivially be arranged to agree with the present measurement, because it involves three free parameter A_u , A_d and ϕ_2 . However, it is nontrivial to simultaneously arrange Eq. (10c) and Eq. (11a) to coincide with current data. The point is that the factor $\sqrt{(m_b - A_d)/m_b}$ in Eq. (11a) should be sufficiently large, such that $|V_{ub}/V_{cb}|$ can get enhanced and reach its experimentally favored value. On the other hand, the term $\sqrt{(m_t - A_u)/m_t}$ in Eq. (10c) must be sufficiently large too, because the smallness of $|V_{cb}|$ requires significant cancellation between the $\sqrt{(m_t - A_u)/m_t}$ term and the $\sqrt{(m_b - A_d)/m_b}$ term. It turns out that $\phi_2 \approx 0^\circ$ is a good approximation, in order to guarantee the sufficient cancellation between two big contributions to $|V_{cb}|$. As a straightforward consequence, we have $\phi \approx -\phi_1 \approx -90^\circ$ from Eq. (12). Solving Eq. (11a), we find

$$A_d \approx m_b \left[1 - |V_{cb}|^2 \frac{m_s}{m_d} \left(\frac{m_b}{m_s} \right)^2 \left(\left| \frac{V_{ub}}{V_{cb}} \right|^2 - \frac{m_u}{m_c} \right) \right]. \quad (13)$$

Substituting Eq. (13) into Eq. (10c), we arrive at

$$A_u \approx m_t \left[1 - |V_{cb}|^2 \left(\frac{m_b}{m_s} \sqrt{\frac{m_s}{m_d} \left(\left| \frac{V_{ub}}{V_{cb}} \right|^2 - \frac{m_u}{m_c} \right) \pm 1} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (14)$$

Clearly there is no problem for Eq. (10c) and Eq. (11a) to agree with current experimental data, if A_u and A_d are given by Eqs. (13) and (14). Our results require no fine-tuning of the values of quark masses.

¶For simplicity, we have taken $\eta_u = \eta_d \equiv \eta = \pm 1$ in the analytical approximations. Our main results are essentially unchanged, even if $\eta_u \neq \eta_d$ (i.e., $\eta_u = -\eta_d = \pm 1$) is taken.

3 To illustrate, let us take a more explicit and instructive example, in which the previous prediction for $|V_{ub}/V_{cb}| \approx \sqrt{m_u/m_c}$ is enhanced by a factor of $\sqrt{2}$, i.e.,

$$\frac{|V_{ub}|}{|V_{cb}|} \approx \sqrt{2 \frac{m_u}{m_c}}. \quad (15)$$

If $m_c/m_u \approx 265$ [9] is typically taken, one gets $|V_{ub}/V_{cb}| \approx 0.087$ from Eq. (15), a result in good agreement with the experimental value $|V_{ub}/V_{cb}|_{\text{ex}} = 0.089 \pm 0.008$ [6]. In this specific case, Eqs. (13) and (14) are simplified to

$$A_d \approx m_b \left[1 - |V_{cb}|^2 \frac{m_u m_s}{m_c m_d} \left(\frac{m_b}{m_s} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (16a)$$

$$A_u \approx m_t \left[1 - |V_{cb}|^2 \left(\frac{m_b}{m_s} \sqrt{\frac{m_u m_s}{m_c m_d}} \pm 1 \right)^2 \right]. \quad (16b)$$

Typically taking $m_c/m_u \approx 265$, $m_s/m_d \approx 20$ [9], $m_b/m_s \approx 30$ [14] and $|V_{cb}| \approx 0.04$ [6], we arrive at $A_d/m_b \approx 0.89$ and $A_u/m_t \approx 0.86$ (corresponding to the plus sign) or 0.92 (corresponding to the minus sign). This numerical result is consistent very well with our original assumptions $A_u \sim m_t$ and $A_d \sim m_b$. Taking account of Eq. (7a) and Eq. (7b), we get $\tilde{B}_u/A_u \approx 0.16$ (plus sign) or 0.09 (minus sign) and $|B_u|/A_u \approx 0.4$ (plus sign) or 0.3 (minus sign) as well as $\tilde{B}_d/A_d \approx 0.12$ and $|B_d|/A_d \approx 0.35$, where minor uncertainties associated with $\eta = \pm 1$ in $\tilde{B}_u$ and $\tilde{B}_d$ have been neglected. These results demonstrate

$$|B_u| \approx \sqrt{A_u \tilde{B}_u} \gg m_c, \quad (17a)$$

$$|B_d| \approx \sqrt{A_d \tilde{B}_d} \gg m_s, \quad (17b)$$

which are natural consequences of Eq. (7a) and Eq. (7b) in the leading-order approximation. Eq. (17) clearly indicates that A_q and its neighbors B_q and $\tilde{B}_q$ roughly satisfy a geometrical hierarchy: $|B_q|/A_q \approx \tilde{B}_q/|B_q|$ (for $q = u$ or d).

Let us remark that the new prediction for $|V_{ub}/V_{cb}|$ in Eq. (15) results from the four-zero texture of Hermitian quark mass matrices with a geometrical hierarchy among their (2,2), (2,3) and (3,3) elements, as illustrated in Eq. (17). This new hierarchy has little influence on the other two successful relations derived originally from the six-zero texture of Hermitian quark mass matrices, as shown in Eq. (2) or Eq. (10b) and Eq. (3b) or Eq. (11b). Therefore we expect that a value of $\sin 2\beta$ larger than before can be predicted from our present ansatz, where $\beta \equiv \arg[-(V_{cd}V_{cb}^*)/(V_{td}V_{tb}^*)]$ is an inner angle of the CKM unitarity triangle

$$V_{ud}V_{ub}^* + V_{cd}V_{cb}^* + V_{td}V_{tb}^* = 0 \quad (18)$$

in the complex plane [6]. We obtain

$$\cos \beta \approx \frac{|V_{cd}|^2 + \frac{m_d}{m_s} - 2 \frac{m_u}{m_c}}{2|V_{cd}| \sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s}}} \quad (19)$$

as a good approximation. We then arrive at $\beta \approx 22.5^\circ$ or $\sin 2\beta \approx 0.71$ using the typical inputs $|V_{cd}| \approx 0.221$ [6], $m_c/m_u \approx 265$ and $m_s/m_d \approx 20$ [9]. This result is certainly compatible with the present measurements of CP violation in B_d^0 vs $\bar{B}_d^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S$ decays, which yield $\sin 2\beta = 0.741 \pm 0.075$ (BaBar [15]) or 0.719 ± 0.082 (Belle [16]). The other two angles of the CKM unitarity triangle are found to be $\alpha \equiv \arg[-(V_{td}V_{tb}^*)/(V_{ud}V_{ub}^*)] \approx 77.1^\circ$ and $\gamma \equiv \arg[-(V_{ud}V_{ub}^*)/(V_{cd}V_{cb}^*)] \approx 80.4^\circ$. Of course, our numerical results are mainly for the purpose of illustration. A careful analysis to higher order has to be done, once the four-zero texture of Hermitian quark mass matrices is confronted with more precise experimental data.

It is worth pointing out that the hierarchical structures of $\overline{M}_u$ and $\overline{M}_d$ will become more transparent, if they are expanded in terms of two new parameters $\epsilon_u \equiv |B_u|/A_u$ and $\epsilon_d \equiv |B_d|/A_d$:

$$\overline{M}_u \sim A_u \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \theta_u^3 & \mathbf{0} \\ \theta_u^3 & \epsilon_u^2 & \epsilon_u \\ \mathbf{0} & \epsilon_u & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (20a)$$

$$\overline{M}_d \sim A_d \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \theta_d^3 & \mathbf{0} \\ \theta_d^3 & \epsilon_d^2 & \epsilon_d \\ \mathbf{0} & \epsilon_d & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (20b)$$

where the small parameters (θ_u, θ_d) [4] are related to (ϵ_u, ϵ_d) in the following way:

$$\theta_u^2 \sim \epsilon_u^6 \sim \frac{m_u}{m_c}, \quad (21a)$$

$$\theta_d^2 \sim \epsilon_d^3 \sim \frac{m_d}{m_s}. \quad (21b)$$

Clearly $|V_{us}| \sim \sqrt{\theta_u^2 + \theta_d^2}$ and $|V_{cb}| \sim |\epsilon_u - \epsilon_d|$ hold to leading order. While $|V_{td}/V_{ts}| \sim \theta_d$ is simply expected, $|V_{ub}/V_{cb}| \sim \theta_u$ gets modified due to the non-negligible contribution of $\mathcal{O}(\theta_d^2) \sim \mathcal{O}(\theta_u)$ from the down quark sector. We stress that ϵ_u and ϵ_d are not very small (indeed $\epsilon_u \approx 0.3$ or 0.4 and $\epsilon_d \approx 0.35$ in the example taken above). One may speculate that the texture of $\overline{M}_u$ and $\overline{M}_d$ in Eq. (20) could naturally be interpreted from a string-inspired model of quark mass generation [17] or from a new kind of flavor symmetry and its perturbative breaking [18].

4 In summary, we have shown that the four-zero texture of Hermitian quark mass matrices M_u and M_d can survive current experimental tests. It is actually easy to obtain sufficiently large predictions for the flavor mixing parameter $|V_{ub}/V_{cb}|$ and the CP-violating parameter $\sin 2\beta$, provided the (2,2), (2,3) and (3,3) elements of M_u and M_d roughly satisfy a geometrical hierarchy. On the other hand, our new ansatz can reproduce the successful relations $|V_{us}| \approx \sqrt{m_u/m_c + m_d/m_s}$ and $|V_{td}/V_{ts}| \approx \sqrt{m_d/m_s}$ derived originally from the six-zero texture of Hermitian quark mass matrices.

It is worth remarking that the phenomenological consequences of a specific texture of quark mass matrices depend not only upon the number of their vanishing entries but also upon the hierarchy of their non-vanishing entries. The former might not be preserved to all orders or at any energy scales in the unspecified interactions which generate quark masses and flavor mixing. Nevertheless, those experimentally favored textures at low energy scales

(such as the one under discussion) are possible to provide enlightening hints at the underlying physics of fermion mass generation and CP violation at high energy scales.

One of us (Z.Z.X.) is grateful to IPPP in University of Durham, where the paper was finalized, for its warm hospitality. This work was supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China.

References

- [1] For a recent review with extensive references, see: H. Fritzsch and Z.Z. Xing, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **45** (2000) 1.
- [2] H. Fritzsch, *Phys. Lett. B* **73** (1978) 317; *Nucl. Phys. B* **155** (1979) 189.
- [3] P. Ramond, R.G. Roberts, and G.G. Ross, *Nucl. Phys. B* **406** (1993) 19.
- [4] H. Fritzsch and Z.Z. Xing, *Phys. Lett. B* **353** (1995) 114; *Phys. Lett. B* **413** (1997) 396; *Phys. Rev. D* **57** (1998) 594; *Nucl. Phys. B* **556** (1999) 49.
- [5] See, e.g., D. Du and Z.Z. Xing, *Phys. Rev. D* **48** (1993) 2349; L.J. Hall and A. Rasin, *Phys. Lett. B* **315** (1993) 164; H. Fritzsch and D. Holtmanspötter, *Phys. Lett. B* **338** (1994) 290; P.S. Gill and M. Gupta, *J. Phys. G* **21** (1995) 1; *Phys. Rev. D* **56** (1997) 3143; H. Lehmann, C. Newton, and T.T. Wu, *Phys. Lett. B* **384** (1996) 249; Z.Z. Xing, *J. Phys. G* **23** (1997) 1563; K. Kang and S.K. Kang, *Phys. Rev. D* **56** (1997) 1511; T. Kobayashi and Z.Z. Xing, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **12** (1997) 561; *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **13** (1998) 2201; J.L. Chkareuli and C.D. Froggatt, *Phys. Lett. B* **450** (1999) 158; *Nucl. Phys. B* **626** (2002) 307; A. Mondragón and E. Rodríguez-Jáuregui, *Phys. Rev. D* **59** (1999) 093009; H. Nishiura, K. Matsuda, and T. Fukuyama, *Phys. Rev. D* **60** (1999) 013006; G.C. Branco, D. Emmanuel-Costa, and R.G. Felipe, *Phys. Lett. B* **477** (2000) 147; R. Rosenfeld and J.L. Rosner, *Phys. Lett. B* **516** (2001) 408.
- [6] Particle Data Group, K. Hagiwara *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **66** (2002) 010001.
- [7] S.H. Chiu, T.K. Kuo, and G.H. Wu, *Phys. Rev. D* **62** (2000) 053014.
- [8] R.G. Roberts, A. Romanino, G.G. Ross, and L. Velasco-Sevilla, *Nucl. Phys. B* **615** (2001) 358.
- [9] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, *Phys. Rep. C* **87** (1982) 77; H. Leutwyler, *Phys. Lett. B* **378** (1996) 313.
- [10] C.H. Albright, *Phys. Lett. B* **246** (1990) 451; J.L. Rosner and M.P. Worah, *Phys. Rev. D* **46** (1992) 1131; G.C. Branco, D. Emmanuel-Costa, and R.G. Felipe, *Phys. Lett. B* **483** (2000) 87.
- [11] H. Fritzsch, *Phys. Lett. B* **184** (1987) 391.

- [12] H. Georgi and D.V. Nanopoulos, Nucl. Phys. B **155** (1979) 52; M. Shin, Phys. Lett. B **145** (1984) 285; Z.Z. Xing, Phys. Lett. B **550** (2002) 178.
- [13] J.L. Chkareuli and C.D. Froggatt, in Ref. [5]; H. Nishiura, K. Matsuda, and T. Fukuyama, in Ref. [5].
- [14] S. Narison, Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Suppl.) **86** (2000) 242; and references therein.
- [15] BaBar Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89** (2002) 201802.
- [16] Belle Collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **66** (2002) 071102.
- [17] D. Cremades, L.E. Ibáñez, and F. Marchesano, hep-ph/0212064.
- [18] C.D. Froggatt and H.B. Nielsen, Nucl. Phys. B **147** (1979) 277; L.E. Ibáñez and G.G. Ross, Phys. Lett. B **332** (1994) 100.